

TOWN OF LOS GATOS
110 East Main Street, Los Gatos, CA 95032 (408) 354-6872

SUMMARY MINUTES OF A REGULAR MEETING OF THE **DEVELOPMENT REVIEW COMMITTEE** OF THE TOWN OF LOS GATOS FOR **JANUARY 4, 2004** HELD IN THE TOWN COUNCIL **CHAMBERS**, CIVIC CENTER, 110 EAST MAIN STREET, LOS GATOS, CALIFORNIA.

The meeting was called to order at 9:00 A.M. by Chair Baily.

ATTENDANCE

Members Present:

Anthony Ghiossi, Senior Building Inspector

Fletcher Parsons, Associate Engineer

Joel Paulson, Planner

Julie Linney, Fire Department

Randy Tsuda, Assistant Community Development Director

Sandy Baily, Associate Planner

PUBLIC HEARING

ITEM 1: 17015 and 17025 Pine Avenue
Subdivision Application M-05-02

Requesting approval of a lot line adjustment between two parcels zoned R-1:8. APNS 529-20-008 & 009.

PROPERTY OWNERS\APPLICANTS: Chris and Cheryl Rasmussen and Maria Smith

1. *Chair Baily* opened the public hearing.
2. Staff gave report on proposed project.
3. Applicant was introduced
4. Members of the public were not present.
5. Public hearing closed.
6. *Parsons* moved to approve the application subject to the conditions presented with the following findings and considerations:

- The proposed project is categorically exempt, pursuant to Section 15315 of the California Environmental Quality Act.

7 *Linney* seconded, motion passed unanimously.

8 Appeal rights were cited.

PUBLIC HEARING

ITEM 2: 17025 Pine Avenue
Architecture and Site Application S-04-060

Requesting approval to modify a previous approval to relocate a new single family residence on property zoned R-1:8. APN 529-20-009.

PROPERTY OWNER\APPLICANT: Chris and Cheryl Rasmussen

1. *Chair Baily* opened the public hearing.
2. Staff gave report on proposed project.
3. Applicant was introduced
4. Members of the public were not present.
5. Public hearing closed.
6. *Ghiossi* moved to approve the application subject to the conditions presented with the following findings and considerations:
 - That the proposed project is categorically exempt, pursuant to Section 15303 of the California Environmental Quality Act; and
 - That the project is in conformance with the considerations for architecture and site applications as set forth in Section 29.20.150 of the Zoning Ordinance.
7. Parsons seconded, motion passed unanimously.
8. Appeal rights were cited.

PUBLIC HEARING

ITEM 3: 185 Longmeadow Drive
Architecture and Site Application S-05-020

Requesting approval of a second story addition on property zoned R-1:12. APN 532-16-010.

PROPERTY OWNER: Glen and Ruth Peterson

APPLICANT: E. Gary Schloh

1. *Chair Baily* opened the public hearing.
2. Staff gave report on proposed project.
3. Applicant was introduced
4. Members of the public were not present.
5. Public hearing closed.
6. *Parsons* moved to approve the application subject to the conditions presented with the following findings and considerations:
 - That the proposed project is categorically exempt, pursuant to Section 15303 of the California Environmental Quality Act as adopted by the Town; and
 - That the project is in conformance with the considerations for Architecture and Site applications as set forth in Section 29.20.150 of the Zoning Ordinance.
7. Ghiossi seconded, motion passed unanimously.
8. Appeal rights were cited.

PUBLIC HEARING

ITEM 4: 49 Kimble Avenue
Architecture and Site Application S-05-025

Requesting approval of a grading permit for a new pool and landscaping improvements on property zoned R-1:20 APN 529-33-043

PROPERTY OWNER: Jack and Lorrie Cuthbert

APPLICANT: California Horticulture

1. *Chair Baily* opened the public hearing.
2. Staff gave report on proposed project.
3. Applicant was introduced
4. Members of the public were not present.
5. Public hearing closed.
6. *Ghiossi* moved to approve the application subject to the conditions presented with the following findings and considerations:
 - That the proposed project is categorically exempt, pursuant to Section 15303 of the California Environmental Quality Act as adopted by the Town; and
 - That the project is in conformance with the considerations for Architecture and Site applications as set forth in Section 29.20.150 of the Zoning Ordinance.
- 7 *Parsons* seconded, motion passed unanimously.
- 8 Appeal rights were cited.

PUBLIC HEARING

ITEM 5: 16678 Topping Way
_____Architecture and Site Application S-04-031

Requesting approval to demolish and construct a new single family residence on property pre-zoned R-1:8. APN 532-09-017.

PROPERTY OWNER: Atousa Ghalouri and Mike Masoumi

APPLICANT: ABBAS Mafi

1. *Chair Baily* opened the public hearing.
2. Staff gave report on proposed project.
3. Applicant was introduced
4. Members of the public were not present.
5. Public hearing closed.
6. *Linney* moved to approve the application subject to the conditions presented with the following findings and considerations:
 - That the proposed project is categorically exempt, pursuant to Section 15303 of the California Environmental Quality Act as adopted by the Town; and
 - That the project is in conformance with the considerations for Architecture and Site applications

as set forth in Section 29.20.150 of the Zoning Ordinance.

- 7 Ghiossi seconded, motion passed unanimously.
- 8 Appeal rights were cited.

PUBLIC HEARING

ITEM 6: 10 Monroe Court

_____ Subdivision Application M-04-006

_____ Architecture and Site Applications S-04-033, 034 and 035

_____ Requesting approval of a vesting tentative map for a three lot subdivision and to construct three single family residences on property zoned RM:12-20:PD. APN 529-09-026.

_____ PROPERTY OWNER: David H. Pitzen

_____ APPLICANT: DHP Monroe Investors LLC

1. *Chair Baily* opened the public hearing.
2. Staff gave report on proposed project.
3. Applicant was introduced
4. Members of the public were present:
 - Cameron Colson, concerned citizen regarding the creek work.
 - Jennifer Anderson, representative for the neighbor, Ann Bloomfield
 - Alicia Barela, neighbor in favor of the project.
5. Public hearing closed.
6. *Linney* moved to approve the application subject to the conditions presented with the following findings and considerations:
 - That the project is in conformance with the considerations for Architecture and Site applications as set forth in Section 29.20.150 of the Zoning Ordinance
 - A Mitigated Negative Declaration was previously made for this project.

- 7 *Ghiossi* seconded, motion passed unanimously.
- 8 Appeal rights were cited.

ADJOURNMENT

Meeting adjourned at 9:50 A.M. The next regularly scheduled meeting of the Development Review Committee is the following Tuesday.

Sandy L. Baily, Associate Planner